

WHY DO THE UKRAINIANS COMPLAIN?

Published from—

THE UKRAINIAN BULLETIN

March 15, 1962

Editors Note: Following are excerpts from the speech of Hon. J. N. Mandziuk, Canadian M.P., delivered in Toronto on January 21, 1962, on the occasion of Ukraine's 44th independence anniversary.

In the free world one wonders why Ukrainians complain. Shall I tell you why? Because:

1. The members of the Ukrainian Government are appointed by Moscow, carry out the will and whims of the conquerors and are dismissed or liquidated by the Kremlin's master of the day.

2. Ukraine has no control over its natural resources.

3. Ukraine has a Minister of Defense, but no army.

4. Ukraine has a Minister of Agriculture—who grows more food for Russia.

5. Ukraine has a Minister of External Affairs but no diplomatic representative in any country.

6. Ukraine has a Minister of Finance but no currency—he is just a tax collector for Moscow.

7. Ukraine's official language is Russian. (Editor's Note. The official language in Ukraine is Ukrainian, — but for all practical purposes Russian is being used in the government of the Ukrainian S.S.R., as the "superior language.")

IS THIS INDEPENDENCE? IS THIS SOVEREIGNTY?

The Ukrainian Soviet delegation at the U.N. is appointed by Moscow and is subservient to the delegation of the U.S.S.R. Just puppets. (1) That is why thousands refused to be repatriated. (2) That is why thousands fled at the risk of life and limb. (3) This is why those who found refuge in the free world plead on behalf of their enslaved brethren and cry to high heaven for justice and freedom.

Yet Ambassador Aroutunian, heading the Soviet Embassy in Ottawa, is reported to have ridiculed our P.M. for his address to the ethnic groups in this city. He is reported to have told the press that Diefenbaker's remarks about Soviet colonialism made a "humorous impression on him." He denied colonialism. Oh yes, he is an Armenian and heads the Soviet Embassy in Canada. But is Armenia free and independent? He said that the Embassy's Military Attaché is a Ukrainian, but you write a letter to the Embassy and your reply is invariably in Russian.

His Excellency can keep on repeating that there is no colonialism under the red flag—the world does not believe him. We resent his criticism of Mr. Diefenbaker's speech as not quite in accordance with the international code of ethics. It is hardly worthy of an Ambassador of a great Empire to criticize the head of State to which he is accredited. But it is not surprising—his leader did worse.

No colonialism behind the Iron Curtain? Is anyone gullible enough to believe that? The Hungarians raised the flag of freedom in 1956 only to be crushed by Soviet tanks. The Poles had some such idea but gave it up. The East Germans would still be fleeing by the thousands but for the "wall of shame" built by the Bolsheviks. Why are Russian divisions stationed in almost every satellite and in every so-called free and independent state of the Soviet Union? To protect these conquered people against what? Against becoming free?

Ukrainians are not alone in raising their voices, pleading for justice and freedom for



Hon. J. N. Mandziuk

the land of their fathers. We all know that the Baltic peoples, the Lithuanians, the Poles, the Czechs, and the Slovaks celebrate their national days, they too pray for the day when their motherland will be liberated.

Since the end of World War II, a worldwide Ukrainian movement directed towards winning the sympathy and support of the western democracies for the cause of Ukrainian liberty has become manifest. Is there hope? Are we just trying to fool ourselves? Is the world deaf to the pleas of millions? I believe there is hope in a united effort of all the enslaved peoples. Because:

1. The world and Ukraine's neighbors know that of the enslaved nations, Ukraine is the largest territorially, has the largest population and in natural resources is the wealthiest.

2. Moscow grew into a great power and was able to subjugate other nations only after the complete conquest of Ukraine—the Czars knew that and the Red Dictators know that.

3. May I repeat that after the Bolshevik Revolution the Reds grabbed for Ukraine first. Why should not other captive nations work hand in hand with the Ukrainian movement? They cannot fear a free Ukrainian State. (1) Ukrainians never had any territorial designs on their neighbors and (2) their history is steeped in democratic traditions. This being so, should it not be in the interest of all the other enslaved nations to see the dismemberment of the Soviet Empire to the extent of seeing a free Ukraine?

It is evident that the force of Ukrainian liberty and democracy are gathering great momentum. The solution of the Ukrainian problem is bound up with the collapse of not only the Red regime but in the collapse of Moscow as a great power, and upon that event depends the ultimate triumph of liberty and democracy for all nations on the other side of the Iron Curtain.

Today Ukraine extends an outstretched hand to its neighbors and appeals to the free world for sympathy and support.

In closing may I say that until recent years the protests and pleas of the enslaved peoples fell upon deaf ears. Some preferred to treat this world problem as a domestic affair of the Kremlin. Others did not realize the Red menace and saw no danger in its expansion.

SCORES U.S. SECRETARY OF STATE

The most glaring example today is Mr. Dean Rusk, Secretary of State of the United States of America and the President's chief adviser, who is reported to have asked the House of Representatives to delete the name of Ukraine from the list of enslaved nations on the grounds that Ukraine is traditionally a part of the Soviet Union, thereby denying the right of those 45 million to self deter-

mination and independence. Such a policy suits the Czars of the Kremlin who under the guise of protecting Europe against German militarism can continue to expand their colonial empire. What a crime some people are committing against a race with 800 years of independent history and a democratic form of government and yet pose as champions of freedom to nations just newly-born what a paradox!

This was most frustrating and discouraging to the Ukrainians and other peoples to be written off, while freedom was encouraged in other parts of the world.

To the Ukrainians it was and still is most frustrating to see lip service paid to the question of liberation of the satellites but to suffer efforts to stifle the Ukrainian liberation movement at every step on the false theory that Russia and Ukraine are one and indivisible and that the Soviet Union must be kept powerful to counterbalance the threat of German militarism.

The world now knows what a powerful Russia can do and is waking up. In this awakening, I am proud to say that Canada takes the lead. Its statesmen, its leaders, have not minced words.

Hence with the awakening will come sympathy and support for Ukraine's cause. In the words of Rt. Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker's resolution to the NATO Conference in Paris: "The suppression of their liberties will not last forever."

- EXTREME RIGHT -

In so-called modern language an "EXTREME RIGHTIST" is:-

1. One who believes in America as a sovereign nation.
 2. One who believes the INDIVIDUAL to be SUPERIOR to any organization.
 3. One who speaks of our Forefathers with reverence, and will NOT succumb to brainwash which attempts to discredit them with such idioms as "agrarians" and "un-schooled farmers."
 4. One who will never agree to changes in our Constitution which will reduce the status of these United States to be a MERE UNIT in any world organization, such as the socialistic United Nations.
 5. One who will never accept the international-socialistic one world philosophy of the U.N., or the so-called philosophy of any other world wide sinister clan, even though such clans have been supported by the last four presidents.
 6. One who is not scared by the propaganda (lies being mouthed through the controlled U.S. information media) concerning the real or imaginary superior might of the Kremlin gangsters.
 7. One who will never sacrifice Principles to co-exist with anyone, local or foreign.
 8. One who would vote to reduce the size of our government because it has been inflated out of proportion to our need.
 9. One who believes (considering the present state of knowledge in America) that there is need for less government.
 10. One who is a United States Patriot, and stands for the American Flag and Freedom first, last, and always.
- The writer finds he is an "EXTREME RIGHTIST" 100% and is bringing up his kids to be the same.
- The sooner we get these United States off the CRAZY U.N. SKIDS, the easier will be the climb back to U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL SANITY.

Ted Billings